


Know your project's real health, before the money commits.

Sanction is granted on a definition picture built by the same team that owns the outcome. CAP·AR is the independent, evidence-based read at every gate, so the gaps that move the cost and the in-service date surface while there is still time to act.

- The guide who has sat in the seat, on both sides of the table.



— THE PROBLEM

An approved package is not the same as a defined one.

Most sanction packages get approved because they look complete, not because anyone tested the definition underneath: a Class 4 number on a Class 3 slide, a tie-in list nobody reconciled against the scope freeze, a critical path that dead-ends in level of effort. The gap surfaces once the field is mobilized, when the cheapest levers are gone.

LATE VISIBILITY

The definition proves thin in construction, when fixes are costly

UNVERIFIED READINESS

A gate cleared on the word of the team that built it

A MOVING NUMBER

The estimate grows after sanction, and the date moves with it

— THE ASSURANCE ARC

AR1

Feasibility

Ahead of G1 · FEL-1

Is the premise sound and owned?

Business case, alternatives honestly killed, portfolio fit, a Class 5 estimate and a milestone schedule that hang together.

Feeds the **feasibility gate**

AR2

Definition

Ahead of G2 · FEL-2

Is the scope defined enough to fund FEED?

Basis of design, the scored scope package, estimate class against the gate, execution strategy and contracting plan.

Feeds the **definition gate**

AR3

Sanction readiness

Ahead of G3 · FEL-3 · FID

Is the project ready to sanction and execute?

Definition completeness, the control estimate and its contingency basis, a resource-loaded baseline stress-tested by CAP·SAR, the team aligned.

Feeds the **final investment decision**

AR4

Closeout

At G6 · after startup

What happened, and what carries forward?

Cost and schedule outcome against sanction, CAP·SPR on the as-built, lessons converted to owned actions, the benchmark record filed.

Feeds the **next project and your benchmark**

Each review hands the team a prioritized set of actions, not a grade, and informs a gate your gatekeeper still decides. **AR3 leverages CAP·SAR** • **AR4 leverages CAP·SPR** (overleaf)

— TWO GATES, TWO SCHEDULE ENGINES

AR3 LEVERAGES CAP-SAR

CAP-SAR

Schedule Assurance Review

The integrated schedule worked in full: procurement logic, gate anchors, float, the tie-in window. A prioritized correction plan before the baseline locks at sanction.

AR4 LEVERAGES CAP-SPR

CAP-SPR

Schedule Performance Review

The sanction baseline joined to the as-built: where time was won and lost, by phase and package, the critical path traced. The closeout that feeds the next project.

— MEASURED FROM EVERY ANGLE

Definition

SCOPE

Completeness, scored gate by gate

A public-framework element set: what the package must contain at G2 and G3.

Estimate

COST

Class, basis, and contingency

The class against the gate, the basis read, contingency traced to risk analysis.

Readiness

0 TO 100

Consultant-led, gate-relative

Category to element to check, measured against what the gate demands.

CAP-QS-001

SCHEDULE

Structural integrity of the plan

Logic, float, and critical path graded against public standards, with the project extension.

When four lenses agree you have evidence, not an opinion. They score what the interviews gathered from every seat, so divergence between the sponsor and the delivery team is your next conversation.

— HOW THE REVIEW RUNS • STANDARD ON EVERY CAP-AR

The site visit is gone. So is the seat nobody got to.

NOTHING TO COORDINATE

You give us the roster once. Each person takes theirs by voice or text, whenever it suits them.

EVERY SEAT, EVERY TIME

Sponsor to commissioning lead, operations owner to turnaround manager on a coupled project.

IT PULLS THE THREAD

It keeps probing where an answer is vague, rather than moving on to keep a room on schedule.

IT CROSS-CHECKS

What one discipline raises is put, anonymously, to the seats not yet interviewed.

Each finding carries the argument against itself and reaches the report only when the consultant accepts it. **The interviews gather. The consultant decides.** The gate decision stays with your gatekeeper.

Your team answers from their phones. The room sees it build live.

Everyone scans a code and responds from their own phone. The facilitator drives from one screen, results reveal live, and the quiet dissenter shows up while it can still change the package. Absentees take the same poll before or after; it merges into one scored result bound to the gate row in CAP-PATH.

Readiness poll


● Live



JOIN CODE

CAP-318

11 of 14 responded



Readiness poll

PROCUREMENT • Q4 OF 11

Long-lead items will be on site by the need date.



Answer recorded

WITHOUT CAP-AR

- ✗ Readiness taken on trust, verified by no one outside the team
- ✗ A thin definition discovered in the field, when it is expensive
- ✗ Contingency set as a percentage, spent on risks nobody wrote down

WITH CAP-AR

- ✓ Readiness proven with evidence at every gate, before you sanction
- ✓ Definition gaps and estimate class named while the money is uncommitted
- ✓ Every discipline interviewed, every finding traceable to a quote

Bring an independent set of eyes to your next sanction.

Start with a short readiness conversation. We will show you where CAP-AR fits your gate calendar, and what an independent read would reveal on the project you are defining now.

Book a call

capintelligence.ai

Call or text +1 832 900 2592